

OREGON HEALTH FUND BOARD (OHFB)
DELIVERY SYSTEM COMMITTEE QUALITY INSTITUTE WORKGROUP

February 5, 2008
1:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.

Legacy Emanuel Lorenzen Center
Portland, OR

MEMBERS PRESENT: Vickie Gates, Chair
Maribeth Healey, Vice-Chair
Nancy Clarke
Jim Dameron
Gwen Dayton
Gil Muñoz
Ralph Prows, MD
Brett C. Sheppard, MD
Glenn Rodríguez, MD
Mike Williams (by phone)
Maureen Wright

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Richard Cohen
Bob Johnson
Maureen Wright, MD

STAFF PRESENT: Tina Edlund, Deputy Administrator, OHP
Sean Kolmer, Data and Research Manager, OHP
Ilana Weinbaum, Policy Analyst
Nicole Janeba, Intern

OTHERS ATTENDING: Carol Turner, Facilitator

ISSUES HEARD:

- Call to Order/Approval of 01/10/08 Minutes
- Review outcomes, ground rules for meeting, decision making
- Basic Assumptions
- Problem Statement
- Roles of the Quality Institute
- Public Testimony

Vickie Gates

I. Call to order at approximately 1:00 pm and Approval of 01/10/08 minutes

- There is a quorum.
- Workgroup members and staff introduced themselves.
- Review of minutes.

Motion to approve the 01/10/08 minutes approved without changes. No objections. **Motion passed.**

Chair Gates welcomed back Carol Turner, facilitator, who is continuing to work with the Committee in drafting their recommendations.

These minutes are in compliance with Legislative Rules. Only text enclosed in italicized quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the recordings.

Carol Turner

II. Review outcomes, ground rules for meeting, decision making

Facilitator Carol Turner reviewed the ground rules as set forth at the January 10 meeting, stating the need to:

- Confirm Key Assumptions
- Confirm and Identify Priorities
- Begun to Identify the key issues around structure

Meeting on March 27 will be spent on structuring governance issues.

Carol Turner

III. Confirm Basic Assumptions (See Exhibit Materials 4)

Chair Gates discussed relating rationale for recommendations and that, in lieu of a minority report, dissenting comments and descriptions as well as lack of consensus would be stated in the main report.

- **Bullet 1** – Discussion whether this should be a role. Remove the “main function of the” from the first sentence.
- **Bullet 2** – Discussion on expanding the assumption and changing to state that it will be “essential for sustainable reform” to include sustainable health care reform. Discussion about whether the institute will be in the position to measure improved quality.
- **Bullet 3** – Discussion on expanding the assumption to reflect affecting systematic change, assessment, and monitoring.
- **Bullet 4** – Integrate main ideas into other bullet points (move reduce duplication to Bullet 1).
- **Bullet 5** – Discussion on “adequate resources” as including funding, making sufficient progress.

Staff will revise Draft Assumptions based on comments.

Carol Turner

IV. What problems are we trying to solve? Which are most important? Key Causes? (See Exhibit Materials 5)

Discussion of Problem Statement with directions for staff to make the following changes:

- **Bullet 1** – Discussion on changing statement to include “need for stronger mechanism . . .” and add quality improvement and transparency efforts to coordination. Other changes to sub-points included expanding and adding clarifying language and adding sub-point on “missed opportunities” and partnerships.
- **Bullet 2** – Committee moved language to reflect that there was no “comprehensive measurement” and it should be related “across the health care delivery system.” Sub-points remained unchanged.
- **Bullet 3** – Expand main point to specify that “Limited resources” is in reference to quality improvement and transparency. Add third sub-point relating to “systemic mobilization” and “reducing duplicative efforts.”

See flip chart notes for additional points discussed.

Carol Turner

V. Confirm Roles (See Exhibit Materials 6)

Committee discussed identifying macro-level and micro-level goals.

Discussion of **Policy Advising**

- Remove second bullet regarding interfacing with public health agencies.

Discussion of **Consumer Engagement**

- Staff will rework language on consumer engagement, combining the two supporting bullet points.

Discussion of **Provider Improvement and Technical Assistance**

- **Bullet 1** – Add “the ability to produce” and exist to apply to wider delivery system
- **Bullet 3** – not just developing, but disseminating health information technology and training workforce to use it
- The QI has a role in disseminating information in relation to quality improvement is discussed and direction provided for rewording by staff.

Discussion of **Value-Based Purchasing**

- Change heading to “**Systemic Measurement of Quality**”
- Remove bullets 2, 3, and 4
- Committee discussed costs associated with quality and whether collecting cost data was an appropriate role for the Institute.
- See Comments on Cost Discussion on flip chart notes.

Discussion of changes to “**Coordination and Collaboration**”

- **Bullet 2** – Sentence 2, change “based on” to “aligned with.”
- **Bullet 3** – Add “evaluating” to first sentence as well as including advocating incentives for quality improvement.
- **Bullet 4** – Remove

Carol Turner

VI. Prioritize Roles

Confirmed prioritization of QI roles in the following order:

- Coordination and Collaboration
- Systemic Measurement of Quality
- Provider Improvement and Technical Assistance
- Consumer Engagement
- Policy Advising

Carol Turner

VII. What are key questions regarding governance, structure?

The committee debated a “bricks and mortar” institute versus “virtual”, value-added, lean model. Concern expressed about creating another regulatory agency.

Carol Turner

VIII. Identify Information needed for next meeting.

Models to look at include: Maine Quality Forum, Pennsylvania Health Care Cost Containment Council, Puget Sound, Minnesota.

Vickie Gates

IX. Next Steps

There will be another meeting in February and one is scheduled for March. Members are urged to think about priorities as though money was immediately available and what should be done first.

Vickie Gates

X. Public Testimony

No public testimony was offered.

Vickie Gates

XI. Adjourn

Meeting adjourned at approximately 5:00 p.m.

Next meeting is February 27, 2008.

Submitted by:

Paula Hird
Office Specialist

Reviewed by:

Ilana Weinbaum
Policy Analyst

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

1. Draft Agenda
2. Draft Minutes from 01/10/08
3. Flip Chart Notes from 01/10/08
4. Draft of Revised Assumptions
5. Draft Quality Institute Problem Statement
6. Updated and Scored Roles for Quality Institute

DRAFT